



Entered on Docket
February 24, 2011

Bruce A. Markell

Hon. Bruce A. Markell
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Kristin A. Schuler-Hintz, Esq., SBN 7171
Christopher K. Lezak, Esq., SBN 11185
McCarthy & Holthus, LLP
9510 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 110
Las Vegas, NV 89117
Phone (702) 685-0329
Fax (866) 339-5691
NVBK@McCarthyHolthus.com

Attorney for Secured Creditor,
HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as Trustee for the holders of Nomura Home Equity
Loan, Inc., Home Equity Loan Trust Series 2007-1, its assignees and/or successors and the
servicing agent AMERICAS SERVICING COMPANY

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:) Case No.: 11-10009-bam
)
Thomas E. Friedman,) Chapter 7
)
Debtor.) DATE: 02/22/11
) TIME: 01:30 pm
)
) ORDER TERMINATING
) AUTOMATIC STAY

The Motion for Relief From Automatic Stay came on regularly for hearing at the date and time set forth above before the United States Bankruptcy Court. Upon review of the Motion and supporting evidence, and good cause appearing, the Court rules as follows:

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the automatic stay
2 provisions of 11 U.S.C. §362 be and are hereby terminated with respect to the interests of
3 Movant in the real property commonly known as 7624 Pacific Hills Avenue Unit 203, Las
4 Vegas, NV 89128.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 Submitted by:
9 McCarthy & Holthus, LLP

10 /s/Christopher K. Lezak
11 Christopher K. Lezak, Esq.
12 9510 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 110
13 Las Vegas, NV 89117
702-685-0329

14 Approved/Disapproved

15 Order Filed 01/19/11-no response received
16 William A. Leonard
17 6625 S. Valley View #224
Las Vegas, NV 89118
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

ALTERNATIVE METHOD re; RULE 9021:

In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

☐ The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).

☒ No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.

☐ I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

Counsel appearing: None - Pro Se

Unrepresented parties appearing: None

Trustee: No Appearance at Hearing; No additional Service required.

☐ I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.

Submitted by:
McCarthy & Holthus, LLP

/s/ Christopher K. Lezak.
Christopher K. Lezak, Esq.

###